Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. Date of Submission: 2010-03-18 15:27:32

2. Agency: 011

3. Bureau: 03

4. Name of this Investment: JMD Litigation Case Management System (LCMS)

5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: 011-03-01-10-01-1526-24

- 6. What kind of investment will this be in FY 2011?: Mixed Life Cycle
 - Planning
 - Full Acquisition
 - Operations and Maintenance
 - Mixed Life Cycle
 - Multi-Agency Collaboration
- 7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? *
- 8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap; this description may include links to relevant information which should include relevant GAO reports, and links to relevant findings of independent audits.

The Litigation Case Management System (LCMS) is focused on developing and implementing a common case management solution for the eight major DOJ litigating divisions, including the United States Attorneys offices, CIV, CRT, ENRD, CRM, ATR, TAX, and NSD. The purpose of LCMS is to provide an enterprise infrastructure for the sharing of case-related information within and between the United States Attorneys' offices, DOJ components, partner agencies, and the public by developing an enterprise case management system that will effectively store the information once, manage it centrally, and make it available to all authorized users. Currently, the Department supports seven different case management systems, which are inefficient and expensive. It also inhibits effective collaboration and information management across components and at the level of Department leadership. Merging these systems will better enable Department leadership to manage one unified entity and to set and implement priorities and best practices more effectively. LCMS will allow the Department to improve operational efficiencies through effective single-point capture of case information and sharing of the information between the U.S. Attorneys and the seven Litigation Divisions, as well as with DOJ executive leadership, Congress, OMB, and partner agencies. The LCMS is also expected to share information with other key systems including Sentinel for law investigative case management and the Consolidated Debt Collection System. With a unified system, the Department will be better able to monitor the resources dedicated to cases and investigations. Implementation of LCMS will also improve cross-component cooperation both between the Divisions and the U.S. Attorneys offices and across litigating Divisions by enabling the Department's components to access the same information. LCMS will be more efficient in the long run than implementing and maintaining numerous disparate systems. Full deployment of LCMS is crucial to ensuring that the Department operates as a unified organization.

- a. Provide here the date of any approved rebaselining within the past year, the date for the most recent (or planned)alternatives analysis for this investment, and whether this investment has a risk management plan and risk register.
- 9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? * a.If "yes," what was the date of this approval? *

10. Contact information of Program/Project Manager?

- Name: *
- Phone Number: *
- Email: *

11. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per FAC-P/PM)? *

- Project manager has been validated according to FAC-PMPM or DAWIA criteria as qualified for this
 investment.
- Project manager qualifications according to FAC-P/PM or DAWIA criteria is under review for this investment.
- Project manager assigned to investment, but does not meet requirements according to FAC-P/OM or DAWIA criteria.
- Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started.
- No project manager has yet been assigned to this investment.

12. If this investment is a financial management system, then please fill out the following as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory (FMSI):

| Financial management system name(s) | System acronym | Unique Project Identifier (UPI) number |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|--|
| * | * | * |

- a. If this investment is a financial management system AND the investment is part of the core financial system then select the primary FFMIA compliance area that this investment addresses (choose only one): *
 - computer system security requirement;
 - internal control system requirement:
 - o core financial system requirement according to FSIO standards;
 - Federal accounting standard;
 - U.S. Government Standard General Ledger at the Transaction Level;
 - this is a core financial system, but does not address a FFMIA compliance area;
 - Not a core financial system; does not need to comply with FFMIA

Section B: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

| Table 1: SUMMARY OF FUNDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions) | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|---------------|--------------------|-------|--|
| | PY1 and earlier | PY 2009 | CY 2010 | BY 2011 | BY+1 2012 | BY+2 2013 | BY+3 2014 | BY+4 and beyond | Total | |
| Planning: | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | |
| Acquisition: | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | |
| Subtotal Planning & Acquisition: | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | |
| Operations & Maintenance : | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | |
| Disposition Costs (optional): | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | |
| SUBTOTAL: | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | |
| | | Government I | FTE Costs sh | ould not be ir | ncluded in the | amounts pro | ovided above. | | | |
| Government FTE Costs | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | |
| Number of FTE represented by Costs: | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | |
| TOTAL(inclu ding FTE costs) | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | |

2. If the summary of funding has changed from the FY 2010 President's Budget request, briefly explain those changes:

*

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1.

| Table 1: Contracts/Task Orders Table | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|--|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Contract or Task Order Number | Type of Contract/Task Order (In accordance with FAR Part 16) | Has the contr act been awar ded (Y/N) | If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date? | Start date of Contract/T ask Order | End date of Contract/T ask Order | Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (M) | Is this an Inter agen cy Acqu isitio n? (Y/N) | Is it perfo rman ce base d? (Y/N) | Com petiti vely awar ded? (Y/N) | What, if any, alternativ e financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A) | Is EVM in the contr act? (Y/N) |
| DJJ06G1412 | Time & Materials with Performance Incentive Feature | Y | 2006-05-31 | 2006-06-01 | 2010-12-21 | \$60.5 | * | * | * | * | * |
| DJJ05C1117 | Time and Materials with Performance Incentives | Y | 2006-09-07 | 2006-10-02 | 2011-09-30 | \$5.5 | * | * | * | * | * |
| DJJ07F1579 | Time and Materials | Υ | 2009-04-28 | 2009-05-01 | 2011-09-30 | \$4.4 | * | * | * | * | * |

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

3. Is there an acquisition plan which reflects the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 and has been approved in accordance with agency requirements? *

a. If "yes," what is the date? *

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

| | | Tab | ole 1: Performan | ce Information Ta | ible | | |
|-------------|--|---------------------|-------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| Fiscal Year | Strategic Goal(s) Supported | Measurement Area | Measurement Grouping | Measurement Indicator | Baseline | Target | Actual Results |
| 2009 | Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice | * | * | Internal Data Sharing: the number of different case management products within DOJ. | Seven different case management products are operational within DOJ litigating divisions in FY08. | The total number of case management products will be reduced from seven to four upon final deployment of LCMS in EOUSA, CIV, CRT and ENRD in FY09 and four to one upon final deployment in CRM, TAX, and ATR in FY11. | LCMS will conduct a Maine field test in FY10 with results available FY11. Overall deployment is planned over FY10-FY16. |
| 2009 | Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice | * | * | Custome Satisfaction Surveys | 85% satisfactory rating | 86% satisfactory rating | LCMS will conduct a Maine field test in FY10 with results available FY11. Overall deployment is planned over FY11-FY12. |
| 2010 | Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice | * | * | Customer Satisfaction Surveys | 85% Customer Satisfaction | 86% Customer Satisfaction | 86% Customer Satisfaction |
| 2010 | Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice | • | • | Judicial Hearings (Judicial Trials): Percentage of Criminal Cases Favorably Resolved | 91% of Criminal Cases Favorably Resolved in FY2005 | Favorably Resolve 90% of Criminal Cases | Available Q1 FY12 |
| 2010 | Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice | * | * | Resolution Facilitation: Percentage of successful matters resolved through mediation (Civil Rights Division). | 2010 baseline will be established Q1 2011. | Achieve the initial baseline target set for the percentage of successful matters resolved through mediation (Civil Rights Division). | Available 2012 |
| 2010 | Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice | • | • | Efficiency: Number ofCriminal and Civil active investigations and HSR (Hart-Scott-Rodi no Improvements Act of 1976) transactions reviewed per FTE (ATR Division). | 2010 baseline will be established Q1 2011. | Achieve the initial baseline target set for Number ofCriminal and Civil active investigations and HSR (Hart-Scott-Rodino Improvements Act of 1976) transactions reviewed per FTE (ATR | Available 2016 |

| Table 1: Performance Information Table | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|-------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Fiscal Year | Strategic Goal(s) Supported | Measurement Area | Measurement Grouping | Measurement Indicator | Baseline | Target | Actual Results | | |
| | | | | | | Division). | | | |
| 2010 | Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice | * | * | Efficiency: Ratio of dollars defeated and recovered to dollars obligated for litigation (CIV Division). | 2010 baseline will be established Q1 2011. | Ratio of dollars defeated and recovered to dollars obligated for litigation (CIV Division). | Available Q1 2012. | | |
| 2011 | Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice | * | * | Customer Satisfaction Surveys | 2011 baseline will be established Q1 2012. | 86% Customer Satisfaction | Available Q1 FY12 | | |
| 2011 | Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice | * | * | Judicial Hearings (Judicial Trials): Percentage of Civil Cases Favorably Resolved. | 2011 baseline will be established Q1 2012. | Favorably Resolve 80% of Criminal Cases. | Available Q1 FY12 | | |
| 2011 | Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice | * | * | Efficiency: Average number of significant civil litigation activities to civil attorney FTE (TAX Division). | 2011 baseline will be established Q1 2012. | Achieve the initial baseline target set for Average number of significant civil litigation activities to civil attorney FTE (TAX Division). | Available 2017. | | |
| 2011 | Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice | * | * | Efficiency: Ratio of administrative support costs to program costs (CRM Division). | 2011 baseline will be established Q1 2012. | Achieve the initial baseline target set for Ratio of administrative support costs to program costs (CRM Division). | Available Q1 FY12 | | |
| 2012 | Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice | * | * | Customer Satisfaction Surveys. | 2012 baseline will be available Q1 FY13. | Achieve the initial baseline target set. | Available Q1 FY13. | | |
| 2012 | Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice | * | • | Resolution Facilitation: Percentage of successful matter resolved through mediation (Civil Division). | 2012 baseline will be available Q1 FY13. | Achieve the initial target baseline. | Available Q1 FY12. | | |
| 2012 | Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice | ٠ | ٠ | Internal Data Sharing: number of different case management products within DOJ. | A minimum of seven different case management systems are operational within DOJ in FY10. | Reduce from seven case management systems to one. | Available Q4 FY15. | | |
| 2009 | Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice | * | * | Judicial Hearings (Judicial Trials): Percent of Criminal Cases Favorably | 90% | Favorably Resolve 90% of Criminal Cases | LCMS will conduct a Maine field test in FY10 with results available FY11. Overall | | |

| | Table 1: Performance Information Table | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|--|---------------------|-------------------------|--|----------|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Fiscal Year | Strategic Goal(s) Supported | Measurement Area | Measurement Grouping | Measurement Indicator | Baseline | Target | Actual Results | | | | | |
| | | | | Resolved | | | deployment is planned over FY11-FY12. | | | | | |
| 2009 | Ensure the Fair and Efficient Administration of Justice | • | • | Judicial Hearings (Judicial Trials): Percent of Civil Cases Favorably Resolved | 80% | Favorably Resolve 80% of Civil Cases | LCMS will conduct a Maine field test in FY10 with results available FY11. Overall deployment is planned over FY11-FY12. | | | | | |

Part II: Planning, Acquisition And Performance Information

Section A: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

| | 1. Compa | arison of Actua | al Work Comple | eted and Actua | l Costs to Curr | ent Approved | Baseline | |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Description of Milestones | Planned Cost (\$M) | Actual Cost (\$M) | Planned Start Date | Actual Start Date | Planned Completion Date | Actual Completion Date | Planned Percent Complete | Actual Percent Complete |
| PMO Operations | \$21.0 | \$9.9 | 2004-10-01 | 2004-10-01 | 2011-09-30 | | 47.00% | 47.00% |
| PMO - Technical Support (SETA) | \$13.2 | \$5.4 | 2005-08-01 | 2005-08-01 | 2011-09-30 | | 41.00% | 41.00% |
| EOUSA System Design | \$5.6 | \$5.6 | 2006-06-01 | 2006-06-01 | 2006-12-06 | 2006-12-06 | 100.00% | 100.00% |
| Build 1 Design | \$9.1 | \$9.1 | 2006-12-07 | 2006-12-07 | 2007-08-03 | 2007-08-03 | 100.00% | 100.00% |
| Configuration, and Testing | \$22.2 | \$22.2 | 2006-05-31 | 2006-05-31 | 2010-12-20 | | 99.00% | 99.00% |
| Field UAT & Follow-up Support | \$1.5 | \$0.0 | 2010-05-01 | 2010-05-01 | 2011-02-28 | | 1.00% | 1.00% |
| LCMS Release 0.04 | * | * | 2010-05-15 | | 2011-02-28 | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| LCMS Release 0.05 | * | * | 2010-11-01 | | 2011-08-31 | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| LCMS Release 0.06 | * | * | 2011-08-25 | | 2011-12-28 | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| LCMS Release 1.0 | * | * | 2011-12-01 | | 2011-12-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Siebel Software Licenses | * | * | 2011-09-30 | | 2012-09-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Stage 1 Preparation & Deployment | * | * | 2010-10-01 | | 2012-09-30 | | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Stage 2 Planning & Preparation | * | * | 2010-10-01 | | 2012-03-31 | | 0.00% | 0.00% |

^{* -} Indicates data is redacted.